Development of an LADM-based Conceptual Data Model for 3D Underground Land Administration in Victoria

Authors:

Bahram Saeidian (PhD Candidate) Prof Abbas Rajabifard Dr Behnam Atazadeh Assoc Prof Mohsen Kalantari

LADM 2022 workshop, Dubrovnik, Croatia

1 April 2022







Est. 2001

Overview

Introduction

Methodology

Requirements

The developed LADM-based data model

Discussion and future works





Introduction: underground space and its value

- Development and utilisation of urban underground space one of the top 10 fronts in engineering development in civil, hydraulic and architecture
- 740,000 km of underground assets in Australia, worth more than \$340 billion
- Applications
 - ✓ Tunnels

✓ ...

- ✓ Underground parking lots
- ✓ Underground shopping malls
- ✓ Public walkway
- ✓ Subsurface utility networks
- ✓ Underground cities



Introduction: underground land administration

• 3D digital data plays an underpinning role in managing underground spaces



Introduction: current practices

> Underground land administration solutions differ among jurisdictions, but they rely on the 2D parcel



Visualisation of communication cables with land parcels, Canada (Pouliot and Girard 2016)

The existing utility and cadastre data of Toa Payoh, Singapore (Yan, Jaw et al. 2019)

Utility map of city of Zürich, Switzerland (Yan, Jaw et al. 2019)

Introduction: current practices

Problems of 2D models - Victoria









Requirements

- Underground legal objects
 - Primary underground parcels
 - Secondary underground interests
 - Underground legal boundaries
- Underground physical objects
 - Physical objects required to define legal spaces
 - Physical objects which are demands required from an integrated 3D underground data model for different use cases

Requirements - primary underground parcels

> Base-level parcels for forming the continuous cadastral fabric

> There is no overlap and gaps between primary parcels

Single, part, multipart parcels

> 2D cross-sectional diagrams and upper and lower limits of parcels are used to represent underground lots in 3D

> In 3D, the spatial extent of these parcels should be a closed volume (volumetric legal spaces)

> Underground lot, stage lot, crown, common property, and reserve

Requirements - primary underground parcels

> Some examples



Underground crown parcel - tunnel

AS AT 15/07/268

- Al-

1, 26, 24

3, 35, 75, 16, 19

RUISIN ROOF APE

2003

95.3x31 FL008

8,204 8,004

15

Requirements - secondary underground parcels

> Provide benefits and/or pose restrictions on primary parcels

> These legal interests can overlap any primary parcels or other secondary parcels

> These legal objects have relationships with at least one primary parcel for which the benefits are provided (semantic relationships)

> Each secondary underground interest needs to be fixed to a primary parcel (spatial relationships)

> In 3D, the spatial extent of these parcels should be a closed volume (volumetric legal spaces)

> Underground easement, depth limitation, and restriction

Requirements - secondary underground parcels

> Some examples



Requirements - underground legal boundaries

> By investigating the current underground subdivision practices, this research has developed a new taxonomy of legal boundaries defined in underground environments.





Requirements - underground legal boundaries

Requirements - underground physical objects

> Two types of physical information

• Physical objects required to define legal spaces (e.g. building boundaries)

• Physical objects which are demands required from an integrated 3D underground data model for different use cases beyond land registration such as planning, design and construction of underground

assets, excavation, and utility management

Requirements - use case of a 3D integrated model: West Gate Tunnel – Melbourne

- Issues of current practice: fragmented data, different data sources, several sheets, and no connection between legal and physical assets to have spatial queries (e.g. finding all parcels through which a planned tunnel will pass)
- Benefits of a fully integrated 3D model: close to reality, better interpretation, solving ambiguities of 2D models such as objects' forms and depths, managing both physical and legal data of all underground objects in an integrated environment via a single model, minimise impacts on third party property and infrastructures, and enabling data query and analysis



Requirements - underground physical objects

Use case	ULA function	Example of underground asset	Physical Requirement
Land	Land tenure	All underground assets:	Physical objects that define the spatial
Registration		Private (lots, storage tanks, etc.) and Crown	arrangements of legal objects (walls, doors,
(Cadastre)		(tunnels, subways, train stations, etc.)	columns, ceilings and floors)
Excavation and	Land use	Utilities:	Utility type, position (x, y and z-coordinate), the
Utility	Land development	Water, drainage, sewerage,	accuracy of the position, size (cable tubes, pits,
Management		telecommunications, electricity (generation,	utility strips), radius, length and number of pipes
		transmission and distribution), gas	/cables, date of installation (Bitenc, Dahlberg et
		(transmission and distribution),	al. 2008, Zlatanova and Gorte 2017)
		petrochemical (e.g. oil, petrol and LPG), etc.	Protective areas of utilities, a 'buffer' around the
			utility (Döner, Thompson et al. 2010)
Planning, design	Land tenure	Tunnels:	The outer surface of physical structures
and construction	Land use	Rail, highways, tram, etc.	Tunnel protection; Buffer zones to keep the
of large-scale	Land development		force equilibrium (Peng, Qiao et al. 2021)
building projects		Buildings:	Same as Land Registration plus the outer surface
		Subways, train stations, private buildings,	of buildings
		etc.	
Smart Cities,	Land tenure	All underground assets	Physical data integration
Digital twins	Land value		Data quality and consistency
	Land use		The surface of the lands (ground/site level) /
	Land development		topography





The general data model for underground land administration in Victoria



> Legal spaces are defined based on the asset types

Extending LADM to support ULA legal objects in Victoria



> "VIC_" is the prefix for the Victoria country profile

- LADM uses external classes defined as <<blue plus to model physical objects</p>
- There are only two classes for utilities and buildings: ExtPhysicalUtilityNetwork and ExtPhysicalBuildingUnit.
- > They are not adequate to model all underground physical assets





Discussion and future works

- > Relationship between physical and legal objects:
 - Legal spaces are parcel-based
 - Physical objects such as a long tunnel or pipeline are defined as a single object
 - Physical objects can pass through several parcels
 - It is possible to divide a tunnel or pipeline by parcels; however, in reality, it is mostly defined as one object
 - Assigning unique identities (IDs) to physical objects and specifying them as attributes of legal spaces can help link a single physical asset to all its legal spaces.
 - What about a legal space with several physical counterparts such as multi-purpose easements?
 - \circ What about other relationships (n-m)?

Discussions and future works

Underground vertical boundaries only include distance (vertical distance); challenging when a vertical boundary is not straight (oblique boundary such as underground parking ramp)

> How is the ground surface defined? Some legal boundaries are defined by the ground surface

> Real-world case studies and prototyping of the proposed data model

Evaluation of the proposed 3D data model by key stakeholders in underground land and asset management and 3D data modelling experts

➢ Finally, this paper did not investigate survey, administrative and party elements. They can be considered in the data model.

Thank you...

Bahram Saeidian PhD Candidate

bsaeidian@student.unimelb.edu.au

The Centre for Spatial Data Infrastructures and Land Administration, Department of Infrastructure Engineering, The University of Melbourne Question?! Suggestion?!